

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/510,242	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MUTO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> YEVEGENY VALENROD	<b>Art Unit</b> 1621	

**All Participants:**

(1) YEVEGENY VALENROD.

(2) Thomas Weber.

**Date of Interview:** 20 May 2009

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*none*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner and Mr. Weber agreed on Examiner's amendment that placed the claims in condition for allowance. The claims were amended to remove the term "medicament" and the intended use associated with said term. Instead the claims are now directed to compositions comprising the compound.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Paul A. Zucker/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1621

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

